



Research Note

Enumeration of colony forming units of *Trichoderma* in formulations – precautions to be taken to avoid errors during serial dilution

S. SRIRAM* and M. J. SAVITHA

National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Insects, Post Bag No. 249, H. A. Farm Post, Hebbal, Bellary Road, Bangalore 560 024, Karnataka, India.

*Corresponding author E-mail: sriram1702@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Traditionally serial dilution method is used for the enumeration of viable propagules in a microbial formulation. Conidia of some fungal agents like *Trichoderma* spp. are hydrophobic in nature. They repel water and are not dispersed uniformly in water. To enhance uniform distribution, dispersing agents like Tween 20 have to be used. In many articles in the published literature, high CFUs ($>10^{11}$ CFUs g^{-1}) have been either been claimed or reported to be present in formulations. Further considering the volume ($4/3 \times 3.14 \times r^3$) occupied by each conidium (minimum 2 micron diameter), realistically we cannot expect high Colony Forming Units (CFUs) reported or claimed in many research papers. In the present report an attempt was made to estimate the possible 'number of conidia per cc' and 'number of conidia per g' of *Trichoderma harzianum* spores (NBAIL Th10–MTCC 5584). The results showed that even at higher dilutions ($>10^{-18}$ to 10^{-20}) colonies of *Trichoderma* appeared on plates which is not theoretically possible. It is proposed that while enumerating the viable propagules in a formulation the serial dilution has to be done with Tween 20 and the tips should be changed for each dilution without fail. The dilution should be restricted to 10^9 or 10^{10} . The limitations of the serial dilution beyond 10^{10} and precautions to be taken are discussed.

KEY WORDS: *Trichoderma* spp., formulation, serial dilution, enumeration, viability

(Article chronicle: Received: 20.11.2010; Sent for revision: 22.01.2011; Accepted: 31.01.2011)

The number of viable propagules present in a formulation of a fungal antagonist is an important quality parameter. The viable propagule count is determined by plating 1g of representative sample on specific medium after serial dilution and counting the colony forming units (CFUs) of the organism. The Insecticides Act (1968) of the Government of India recommends 2×10^6 CFUs g^{-1} of the formulation for *Trichoderma* spp. and 2×10^8 CFUs g^{-1} for entomopathogenic fungi.

Serial dilution method employed for enumerating microbes in a formulation has some limitations. CFUs count obtained by serial dilution method depends on different factors, viz., *i.* uniformity of distribution of spores, *ii.* proportion of propagules in a formulation (v/v) and *iii.* frequency of colonies that appear at different dilutions and plating methods.

Uniform distribution of spores in a suspension is an important criterion that affects enumeration of microbes. Conidia of *Trichoderma* spp. are hydrophobic or lipophilic in nature (Gams and Bisset, 1998). The spores carry hydrophobins in the cell wall that confer the biocontrol potential besides playing an important role in binding

with fat or lipid substances present on the host plant surface. When placed in oil or lipid they clog to fat or lipid substances. Hydrophobic spores repel water and do not distribute evenly. Hydrophobicity of spores affects serial dilution. When the spores are not uniformly distributed in water, taking a portion of it as representative sample for further dilution will lead to error in enumeration. Hence to make the dispersal of spores uniform, surfactants like Tween 20, Tween 80, etc. are used.

Number of propagules per unit weight of a formulation depends on the proportion of propagules and the carrier material. Based on the size of the propagule, the number of propagules per unit volume or weight will vary. The smaller the spore size, the higher will be the number of spores per unit volume. For example, bacteria will have more propagules compared to fungi in a given proportion (w/w or v/v). Geometrically when we calculate, the concentration of fungal spores cannot be in the range of 10^{12} or higher as has been reported or claimed in various reports. For example the conidia of *T. harzianum* are sub-globose to ovoidal in shape. The size of conidia of *T. harzianum* is (2.0–2.7) to (3.5–5.0) \times (1.8–2.5) to (3.0–4.0) μm with L/W 1.1. to 1.2 (Gams and Bissett, 1998). If we assume

that the minimum diameter of a conidium is 2µm, the volume occupied by each conidium is $(4/3 \pi r^3)$ is 4.19 µm³. One ml or 1cc, i.e., (1x1x1cm) or (1000 x 1000 x 1000µm) or (1x10¹² µm³) can contain only (1x10¹²÷4.19) conidia or 2.38x10¹¹ conidia, assuming that pore space is negligible.

‘Colony forming units’ is an estimate of number of cells that are capable to form colonies that can grow under the conditions of the test (medium, temperature, time, oxygen, etc.). A colony can arise from one cell or a group of un-separated cells. Sutton (2006) has reviewed the procedures used in counting colonies for fungi, bacteria and yeasts. The earliest report on the allowable number of colonies was made by Breed and Dotterren (1916) who reported that best results were obtained with 50–200 CFUs per plate. Tomasiewicz *et al.* (1980) recommended 25–250 CFUs per plate in triplicate as countable range. United States Pharmaceutical Convention 2006 recommended 25–250 colonies for bacteria and *Candida albicans* and 8–80 colonies for *Aspergillus niger* as limits per plate. ASTM (1998) provided the countable range as follows: 20–80 colonies for membrane plating, 20–200 for spread plate, 30–300 for pour plate method in four replicates each. The ‘detection level working group DLWG’ of Presidential Task Force on Best Practices for Microbiological Methodology formed by USFDA recommended an alternative method called ‘dilution to extinction’ (AOAC, 2006).

When the microbial population is too high it is treated as too numerous to count (TNTC). Blodgett (2002) suggested an alternative measure for serial dilution experiments with tubes for most probable numbers (MPN). MPN procedures are more suitable for the enumeration of bacteria. Blodgett (2008) discussed the bias in the enumeration of microbes that can happen by exclusion of plate counts outside the acceptable range (25–250 CFUs g⁻¹).

Most of the literature and opinions with respect to advantages and disadvantages of serial dilutions were mainly related to bacteria and very few of them deal with fungi. The brief surfing of literature to know the colony forming units of fungal antagonists in a formulation that have been reported or claimed to be present in a formulation reveals that many researchers have reported formulations with more than 1x10¹¹ CFUs g⁻¹, interestingly in the range of 10¹² or more in many cases. Some reports indicate that field application was done at 10¹² CFUs g⁻¹ or ml⁻¹ (Nakkeeran *et al.*, 2005; Jegadambigai *et al.*, 2009).

The objective of the present report is to explain why it is not possible to have high CFUs (> 10¹⁰ per g or ml of a formulation) especially with *Trichoderma* which has hydrophobic spores that do not spread in water uniformly. In spite of the use of a surfactant (Tween 20), high frequency of CFUs of *Trichoderma* can appear

at higher dilutions (>10¹⁴). The limitations of serial dilution in enumeration of *Trichoderma* CFUs in formulations and precautions to be considered are discussed.

One g as well as one cc (1ml) of conidia of *T. harzianum* (NBAIL Th10 – MTCC 5584) separated from solid state fermentation substrate (ragi grains) using Mycoharvester were taken and serially diluted with or without surfactant. Tips were changed for each dilution. For one g of conidia, the dry spores obtained from Mycoharvester were quantitatively measured and taken. For one cc of conidia, the harvested spores were transferred to sterile measuring cylinder under aseptic conditions upto 1ml mark. Three methods of plating *viz.* pour plate, spread plate and running drop method were followed. Tween-20 (sorbitan monolaurate ethoxylate), a non-ionic surfactant found compatible with a number of fungi (Walker, 1981; Boyette and Walker, 1985; Mitchell, 1986), was used at 0.2% concentration wherever required. For pour plate method, 1ml of the spore suspension was used per plate while 100ul of the same was used in spread plate method per plate. In running drop method that is used for enumeration in medical microbiology (Fig. 1d), a drop (50ul) of spore suspension of different dilutions was placed on one side of the plate and allowed to run towards the other end by keeping the plates in slanting position. To cross check the viability haemocytometer count was also recorded. Each plating method was replicated thrice for each dilution and each test was repeated thrice.

When one cc or one ml spores were serially diluted and plated, viable colonies (3–16) appeared even after 10⁻¹⁴ dilution (Table 1, Fig. 1a). Few colonies appeared even at 10⁻¹⁸ and 10⁻²⁰ dilutions (2–8 and 1–5 respectively) (Fig. 1c). This was observed with or without the addition of surfactant, Tween 20 (Fig. 1b). Though use of Tween 20 facilitated easy distribution of conidia in serial dilution, *Trichoderma* colonies appeared on plates even at higher dilutions. The same trend was observed in spread plate method also (results not shown). In running drop method also the serial dilution did not reflect in the proportional reduction in the spore count at higher dilutions, though fewer colonies only appeared (Fig. 1d). In haemocytometer counts, 1g of spores was found to contain 2.15x10⁸ conidia and 1cc or 1ml of conidia was found to contain 5.36x10⁹ conidia.

The above observations show that the hydrophobic conidia of *Trichoderma* are not uniformly distributed while subjected to serial dilution and they get transferred to higher dilution disproportionately. Though haemocytometer count gives almost real time observation, all the conidia observed need not be viable. Unviable conidia also will form a part of the counting.

Since a formulation contains carrier material (e.g. talc), pore space, water (6–10%) in addition to spore or conidia, the possibility of getting >10¹⁰ spores per g is

Table 1. Number of colonies at higher dilutions (> 10¹⁴ dilutions)

Plating method	Without Tween 20				With Tween 20			
	10 ¹⁴	10 ¹⁶	10 ¹⁸	10 ²⁰	10 ¹⁴	10 ¹⁶	10 ¹⁸	10 ²⁰
Pour plate method	3-16	3-8	2-8	1-5	3-10	1-5	0-8	0-5
Running drop method	3-8	2-5	1-5	1-4	2-7	0-4	0-4	0-3

Table 2. Haemocytometer count of *Trichoderma* conidia in spore suspensions prepared with one g or one cc of spores from solid state fermentation

Initial weight or volume of conidia taken	Spore count in haemocytometer	
	Without Tween 20	With Tween 20
One g	2.15x10 ⁸	3.12x10 ⁸
One cc	5.36x10 ⁹	6.26x10 ⁹

rare. Water content in the spores also will affect the number of spores in one gram. In the above described examples only one g or one cc conidia was taken. In a formulation which is claimed to contain only 2% active ingredient, it is not possible to expect high CFUs (>1x10¹⁰). However in many researchers have either reported or claimed high CFUs in the formulations. Jegadambigai *et al.* (2009) reported that conidial suspensions of *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* were used at 1x10¹¹ spores ml⁻¹ in field application for the management of *Sclerotium rolfsii* in *Zamioculcas zamiifolia* in Sri Lanka. Lee *et al.* (2006) reported that formulations of *T. harzianum* Th459 were developed in cooperation with JGreen Inc. (Changnyung, Gyeongnam province, Korea) in 2000 and concentrated conidia (1x10¹¹ g⁻¹), wettable powder (1x10⁹ g⁻¹), granular formulation (1x10⁸ g⁻¹) provided by the company was field tested for the management of *Botrytis cinerea* infection on cucumber. US Patent 623912B1 claims that accumulation of *T. harzianum* or *T. reesei* spores at 1x10¹² spores ml⁻¹ or greater was used for the waste water




Fig. 1. *Trichoderma* colonies at different dilutions in pour plate and running drop methods (1-8: Dilutions 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁸, 10⁻¹⁰, 10⁻¹², 10⁻¹⁴, 10⁻¹⁶, 10⁻¹⁸ and 10⁻²⁰, b. Dilution at 10⁻¹⁴, c. 1-8: Dilutions 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁸, 10⁻¹⁰, 10⁻¹², 10⁻¹⁴, 10⁻¹⁶, 10⁻¹⁸ and 10⁻²⁰, d. 1: Dilutions 10⁻², 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁴, 2: dilutions 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁷ and 10⁻⁸, 3: dilutions: 10⁻⁹, 10⁻¹⁰, 10⁻¹¹ and 10⁻¹², 4: dilutions 10⁻¹³, 10⁻¹⁴, 10⁻¹⁵ and 10⁻¹⁶, 5: 10⁻¹⁷, 10⁻¹⁸, 10⁻¹⁹ and 10⁻²⁰)

treatment. Thangavelu *et al.* (2004) used dried banana leaf as substrate for growing *Trichoderma* and reported that 4.6×10^{32} CFUs of *T. harzianum* was obtained in gram of dried banana leaf. Zhao (1999) used solid state fermentation technology for the production of *T. viride*. 58% wheat bran was gradually added to one day old liquid medium containing 5% maize starch and the viability of *Trichoderma* in this formulation was 6.4×10^{10} conidia g^{-1} . Nakkeeran *et al.* (2005) produced *T. viride* on sorghum grains and used the grains with *T. viride* growth (1×10^{11} CFU g^{-1}) for field application after mixing it with farm yard manure. Sawant *et al.* (1995) used coffee waste and poultry manure for the mass production of *T. harzianum* and they reported that viability was 9×10^{11} to 3×10^{12} CFUs g^{-1} .

Based on the results presented in this report and logical calculation, it is not possible to obtain high CFUs ($>10^{10}$) in the formulations of any organisms where the propagule size is $2 \mu m$ or more. Hence it is proposed that while enumerating the viable propagules in a formulation the serial dilution has to be done with Tween 20 and the dilution should be restricted to 10^9 or 10^{10} . The tips should be changed for each dilution without fail. Plates with colony count of 8-80 only should be considered for enumeration. If more than 80 colonies appear at 10^9 dilution, they should be treated as TNTC (too numerous to count); it should be treated as 1×10^{10} and only log transformed values (e.g. 10.0 for 1×10^{10}) should be used for statistical analysis. For enumeration of other hydrophobic spores also, the same suggestions have to be followed to avoid errors in enumeration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Director, NBAII, Bangalore, India, for the infrastructure facilities provided.

REFERENCES

AOAC. 2006. Final report and executive summaries, from the AOAC International Presidential Task Force, on Best Practices in Microbiological Methodology. (<http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/@fdagov-foods-gen/documents/document/ucm088702.pdf>).

ASTM. 1998. D5465-93. Standard practice for determining microbial colony counts from waters analysed by plating methods. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org.

Blodgett, R. J. 2002. Measuring improbability of outcomes from a serial dilution test. *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods*, **31**: 2209–2223.

Blodgett, R. J. 2008. Mathematical treatment of plates with colony counts outside the acceptable range. *Food Microbiology*, **25**: 92–98.

Bowling, M. and Adams, P. 2005. Method of waste water treatment utilizing white rot and brown rot fungi. US patent 6923912B1 dt. 2.8.05.

Boyette, C. D. and Walker, H. L. 1985. Evaluation of *Fusarium lateritium* as a biological herbicide for controlling velvet leaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*) and prickly sida (*Sida spinosa*). *Weed Science*, **34**: 106–109.

Breed, R. and Dotterer, W. D. 1916. The number of colonies allowable on satisfactory agar plates. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **1**: 321–331.

Gams, W. and Bissett, J. 1998. Morphology and identification of *Trichoderma*, pp. 3-34. In: Kubicek, C. P. and Harmann, G. E. (Eds.). *Trichoderma and Gliocladium. Volume I: Basic biology, Taxonomy and Genetics*. Taylor and Francis, London, UK, 278 pp.

Jegadambigai, V., Wijeratnam, R. S. W. and Wijesundera, R. R. L. C. 2009. Control of *Fusarium oxysporum* wilt disease of *Crossandra infundibuliformis* var. *danica* by *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Asian Journal of Plant Pathology*, **3**: 50–60.

Lee, S. K., Sohn, H. B., Kim, G. G. and Chung, Y. R. 2006. Enhancement of biological control of *Botrytis cinerea* on cucumber by foliar sprays and bed potting mixes of *Trichoderma harzianum* YC459 and its application on tomato in the greenhouse. *Plant Pathology Journal*, **22**: 283–288.

Mitchell, J. K. 1986. *Dichotomophthora portulacae* causing black stem rot on common purslane in Texas. *Plant Disease*, **72**: 354–355.

Nakkeeran, S., Renukadevi, P. and Marimuthu, T. 2005. Antagonistic potentiality of *Trichoderma viride* and assessment of its efficacy for the management of cotton root rot. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **38**: 209–225.

Sawant, I. S., Sawant, S. D. and Narayan, K. A. 1995. Biological control of *Phytophthora* root rot of Coorg mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*) by *Trichoderma* spp. grown on coffee waste. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **65**: 842–846.

Sutton, S. 2006. Counting colonies. *Pharmaceutical Microbiology Forum Newsletter*, **12**: 2–5.

Thangavelu, R., Palaniswami, A., Velazhahan, R. 2004. Mass production of *Trichoderma harzianum* for managing *Fusarium* wilt of banana. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **103**: 259–263.

Tomasiewicz, D. M., Hotchkiss, D. K., Reinbold, G. W. and Read, R. B. and Hartman, P. A. 1980. The most suitable number of colonies on plates for counting. *Journal of Food Protection*, **43**: 282–286.

Walker, H. L. 1981. Granular formulation of *Alternaria macrospora* for the control of spurred anoda (*Anoda cristata*). *Weed Science*, **29**: 342–345.

Zhao, L. 1999. Production of *Trichoderma* sp. conidia by a liquid / solid fermentation process. *Chinese Journal of Biological Control*, **15**: 144.