Working Capital Management and its Impact on Profitability of Selected Cement Companies in India

M. Sudhahar and S. V. Sneha*

Post Graduate and Research, Department of Commerce, Gobi Arts and Science College, Gobichettipalayam, Erode - 638452, Tamil Nadu, India; snehasv17052001@gmail.com

Abstract

Management of capital has a major role in an organisation. The capital of a company is classified into fixed and working capital. Fixed capital is the long-term capital used for investment in assets. Working capital is the short-term capital required to meet the company's regular expenses. It provides life and strength to the company and decides the profitability and solvency of the business. The management of working capital is thus important for all companies. Ineffective management of working capital will lead to industrial sickness. In this regard, the present study focuses on understanding working capital management and its impact on the profitability of selected five cement companies using Trend Analysis and Pearson's Correlation Coefficient.

Keywords: Cement Companies, Profitability, Working Capital Management

1. Introduction

India boasts a diverse range of industries, with the cement sector firmly contributing to the country's robust economy. The cement industry is one of the primary industries in the country. It helps in building the infrastructure of the country. The increasing demands in the construction industry have a direct impact on the cement industry. In every business, it is very important to manage the capital effectively. Working capital management is concerned with short-term financial decisions. When working capital is not utilised effectively, it will decrease the benefits of short-term investments. The business may face a shortterm liquidity crisis if the level of working capital is too low which will ultimately affect the credit worthiness of the company.

This study attempts to investigate the importance of working capital management and its impact on the profitability of selected five cement companies viz., Ultra Tech Cements, Jai Prakash Associates, Ambuja Cements, Shree Cements and ACC Cement Company. The data has been taken for ten years from 2014-2023.

2. Review of Literature

Mian Sajid Nazir and Talat Afza¹ explored the impact of aggressive working capital management policy on the profitability of firms. This study used secondary data with a panel data set from 1998 to 2005. Tobin's q-test and regression analysis were used in this study. It was found that firms with more aggressive financing policies might not be able to earn more profit. Abdul Raheman et al.,² studied working capital management and corporate performance of the manufacturing sector in Pakistan from 1998-2007. The secondary data of 204 manufacturing firms listed on the Karachi Stock Exchange were considered. Tools like ratio analysis, Pearson correlation coefficient, regression analysis and Hausman test were used in this study. The study found that effective management of working capital could improve the operating profitability of manufacturing firms. Sharma and Satish Kumar³ conducted a study on working capital management and its effect on profitability. The secondary data were collected from 263 non-financial firms listed on the Bombay stock exchange from 2000-2008. Tools like

ratios, correlation matrix and OLS multiple regression analysis were used. It was found that working capital management and profitability were positively correlated in Indian companies. Rajesh⁴ conducted a study based on secondary data. The samples were taken from the annual reports of the selected seven cement companies from 2001 to 2011. Ratio analysis, regression analysis and ANOVA were used in this study. The study stated that the major portion of the current assets was in the form of inventory. Tanushree Sharma and Utkarsh Rathore⁵ conducted a study on working capital management and profitability. The secondary data required for the study were collected from the annual report of IOCL for the period 2005 to 2010. Tools like various ratios, pearson's simple correlation coefficient and multiple regression analysis were used in this study. This study reveals that the cash turnover ratio and inventory turnover ratio explained 89.9% of the total variation in the profitability and 98.4% of the total variation in the profitability of the company was jointly explained by the Current ratio and Current Assets to Total Assets Turnover Ratio (CATAR).

3. Objectives of the Study

- To understand the growth in Return on Investment (ROI) of the selected companies.
- To understand the significant relationship between working capital management and profitability (ROI).

4. Methodology

The secondary data required for the present study was collected by using a judgemental sampling technique. During the data collection period, the selected five cement companies were quoted in the list of the Bombay Stock Exchange. It was decided to use only the top 5 companies, Ultra Tech Cements, Jai Prakash Associates Cements, Ambuja Cements, Shree Cements and ACC Cements for the present study. The required data for 10 years between 2014 and 2023 was considered for the present study. The data was collected from the company's annual reports for the period from 2014 to 2023. Ratios of the companies were used. Further, trend analysis and Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient were employed to analyse the data.

5. Analysis and Discussion

5.1 Growth Rate of Selected Companies

The growth rate of the selected cement companies from 2014 to 2023 has been examined by applying trend analysis. Trend analysis is a quantitative review of what happens over a period of time. It examines data over time to identify any consistent patterns or tendencies. In this study, the growth rate of Return on Investment (Profit ÷ Investment * 100) of the selected five companies has been examined with trend analysis. Table 1 shows the growth rate of ROI of Ultra Tech Cements.

Table 1 reveals the ROI(%) and the trend of Ultra Tech Cements. It is found that ROI is the highest (10.104) during the year 2022 and lowest (3.461) during the year 2019. The mean value of ROI is 6.732 and the coefficient of variation is 27.807. The linear growth rate of 0.126 shows positive growth in all the years (2014-2023). Table 2 gives a picture of the ROI of Jaiprakash Associates.

Table 2 shows the ROI (%) and the trend of Jaiparakash Associates. The ROI (%) is negative during all the periods

Year	ROI(%)				
2014	7.735				
2015	6.223				
2016	6.733				
2017	6.944				
2018	4.303				
2019	3.461				
2020	8.068				
2021	7.354				
2022	10.104				
2023	6.402				
Mean	6.732				
Standard Deviation	1.872				
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	27.807				
Linear Growth Rate	0.126				
t Value	0.589				

Table 1. ROI of Ultra Tech Cements

Source: Computed

Year	ROI (%)				
2014	-0.779				
2015	-1.612				
2016	-3.467				
2017	-18.426				
2018	-6.336				
2019	-6.483				
2020	-1.839				
2021	2.297				
2022	-5.138				
2023	-4.408				
Mean	-4.619				
Standard Deviation	5.558				
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	120.329				
Linear Growth Rate	0.128				
t Value	0.197				

Table 2. ROI of Jaiprakash Associates

Source: Computed

except during the year 2021. A negative ROI shows that the total costs are greater than the returns. The mean value of ROI is -4.619 and the coefficient of variation is 120.329. The linear growth rate of 0.128 shows positive growth.

Table 3 depicts the ROI of Ambuja Cements.

Table 3 shows the ROI (%) and the trend of Ambuja cement during the study period. ROI is the highest (30.755) during the year 2014 and lowest (0.415) during the year 2019. The mean value of ROI is 10.015 and the coefficient of variation is 92.680. The linear growth rate is -2.373 which shows the negative growth of ROI.

Table 4 portrays the ROI and growth rate of Shree Cements.

Table 4 shows the ROI (%) and the trend of Shree cements during the study period. ROI is the highest (13.537) during the year 2017 and lowest (5.758) during the year 2015. The mean value of ROI is 9.880 and the coefficient of variation is 29.746. The linear growth rate is -0.270 which shows the negative growth of ROI during the study period.

Table 5 shows the ROI and growth rate of ACC Limited.

Table 5 shows the ROI (%) and the trend of ACC Limited during the study period. ROI was the highest (10.940) during the year 2019 and lowest (4.687) during the year 2023. The mean value of ROI is 8.020 and the coefficient of variation is 28.304. The linear growth rate is -0.078 which shows the negative growth of ROI.

Table 3.	ROI	of Ambuja	cements
----------	-----	-----------	---------

Year	ROI (%)				
2014	30.755				
2015	21.758				
2016	9.237				
2017	10.308				
2018	8.095				
2019	0.415				
2020	3.209				
2021	5.391				
2022	6.57				
2023	4.413				
Mean	10.015				
Standard Deviation	9.282				
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	92.680				
Linear Growth Rate	-2.373				
t Value	-3.458				

Source: Computed

Table 4. ROI of Shree cements

Year	ROI(%)
2014	12.229
2015	5.758
2016	12.818
2017	13.537
2018	10.593
2019	6.726
2020	8.439
2021	11.825
2022	10.971
2023	5.911
Mean	9.880
Standard Deviation	2.939
Coefficient of Variation(CV)	29.746
Linear Growth Rate	-0.270
t Value	-0.818

Source: Computed

5.2 Relationship between ROI and Other Selected Variables

The relationship between two variables is measured with a correlation coefficient. If the r value is between 0 and 1, it is known as a positive correlation. If the r value is between

Year	ROI (%)				
2014	9.678				
2015	9.849				
2016	4.981				
2017	5.514				
2018	7.202				
2019	10.94				
2020	8.888				
2021	8.598				
2022	9.867				
2023	4.687				
Mean	8.020				
Standard Deviation	2.270				
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	28.304				
Linear Growth Rate	-0.078				
t Value	-0.295				

Table 5. ROI of ACC Limited

Source: Computed

Table 6. Relationship between ROI and other selected variables

0 and -1, it is known as a negative correlation. If the r value is 0, there is no relationship between the variables. The relationship between the ROI and the selected other variables has been analysed and shown in Table 6.

Table 6 proves that the correlation coefficient between ROI and current ratio is 0.346 and implies that there is a significant relationship between ROI and current ratio at a 5% significance level. The correlation coefficient between ROI and quick ratio is 0.424, ROI and current assets to sales ratio are -0.596, ROI and inventory turnover ratio is 0.587, ROI and debtors turnover ratio is 0.65 and there is a significant relationship between them at a 1% significance level.

The correlation coefficient between ROI and working capital turnover ratio is 0.027, current assets to total assets ratio is 0.103 which is statistically not significant. Hence, it can be concluded that ROI has a significant relationship with the current ratio, quick ratio, current assets to sales ratio, inventory turnover ratio and debtors turnover ratio.

						CA to				
					WC	total	CA to	Cash	Inventory	Debtors
		Return on	Current	Quick	Turnover	assets	sales	Turnover	Turnover	Turnover
		Investment	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	ratio	ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
Return on	Pearson	1	246*	424**	027	126	506**	102	507**	656**
Investment	Correlation	1	.540	.424	.027	.120	590	.105	.307	.030
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.014	.002	.851	.385	.000	.478	.000	.000
Current Ratio	Pearson	346*	1	942**	- 154	828**	001	- 551**	393**	613**
	Correlation	.510	1	.7 12	.151	.020	.001		.575	.015
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.014		.000	.287	.000	.997	.000	.005	.000
Quick Ratio	Pearson	.424**	.942**	1	129	.775**	208	513**	.608**	.733**
	Correlation									
	<u>Sig. (2-tailed)</u>	.002	.000		.372	.000	.147	.000	.000	.000
WC lurnover	Pearson	.027	154	129	1	157	122	.373**	037	.003
Ratio	Correlation	051	207	272		077	400	000	700	001
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.851	.287	.372		.277	.400	.008	./98	.981
CA to total	Pearson	.126	.828**	.775**	157	1	.278	613**	.130	.418**
assets ratio	<u>Sig</u> (2 tailed)	295	000	000	277		051	000	260	002
CA to sales ratio	Dearson	.365	.000	.000	.277		.031	.000	.308	.003
CA to sales fatio	Completion	596**	.001	208	122	.278	1	316*	741**	569**
	Sig (2-tailed)	000	997	147	400	051		025	000	000
Cash Turnover	Pearson	.000	.))1	,11/	.100	.031		.025	.000	.000
Ratio	Correlation	.103	551**	513**	.373**	613**	316*	1	006	119
Ratio	Sig. (2-tailed)	.478	.000	.000	.008	.000	.025		.966	.412
Inventory	Pearson	F07**	20.2**	(00**	027	120	P 4 1 **	0.0.6	1	
Turnover Ratio	Correlation	.587	.393	.608	037	.130	/41	006	1	.//8
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.005	.000	.798	.368	.000	.966		.000
Debtors	Pearson	656**	612**	722**	002	410**	E 6 0**	110	770**	1
Turnover Ratio	Correlation	.000	.013	./ 33	.005	.418	309	119	.//8	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.981	.003	.000	.412	.000	

Source: Computed

*. significant at the 0.05 level

**. significant at the 0.01 level

6. Suggestions

The linear growth rate of Ultra Tech Cements only shows good positive growth. The Jai Prakash Cements shows a positive linear growth rate. However, most of the ROI shows negative values. Hence, the other companies should take the necessary steps for the positive growth of ROI. The Karl Pearson's Correlation Coefficient value of ROI and current assets to sales ratio shows a negative relationship. The current ratio, quick ratio, inventory turnover ratio and debtors turnover ratio show a positive relationship. Hence, it is suggested to maintain a minimum level of current assets to sales ratio which may lead to an increase in ROI.

7. Conclusion

Efficient management of working capital also results in the profitability of the business. In this study, the trend of the selected five companies for ten years has been shown. Also, the relationship with the selected ratios has been analysed. Hence, the stated suggestions are advised to be followed for the betterment of the company's growth.

8. References

- 1. Nazir MS, Talat A. Impact of Aggressive Working Capital Management Policy on Firms' Profitability. The IUP Journal of Applied Finance. 2009; 15(8):19-30.
- 2. Raheman A, Afza T, Qayyum A, Bodla MA. Working Capital Management and Corporate Performance of Manufacturing Sector in Pakistan. IRJFE 2010; 47:151-162.
- Sharma AK, Kumar S. Effect of Working Capital Management on Firm Profitability: Empirical Evidence From India. Global Business Review. 2011; 2(1):159-173. https://doi.org/10.1177/097215091001200110
- 4. Rajesh N. Working Capital Management of Selected Cement Companies in India. IJMDS. 2013; 2:4.
- Sharma T, Rathore U. Working Capital Management and Its Impact on Profitability: A Case of Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. IUP Journal of Accounting and Audit Practices. 2013; 12:3.